

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/893,757   | 06/27/2001     | James Brian Vrotacoe | 600.1168                | 4850             |
| 75   | 590 07/15/2002 |                      |                         |                  |
| DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC                     |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| 485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor<br>New York, NY 10018 |                |                      | FUNK, STEPHEN R         |                  |
|  |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                |                      | 2854                    | -                |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2002 |                  |
|  |                |                      |                         |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

De

Application No. 09/893,757

Applicant(s)

Vrotacoe et al.

| Office A | ction S | Summary |
|----------|---------|---------|
|----------|---------|---------|

Examiner Stephen Funk Art Unit **2854** 

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears  | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  |  |  |  |  |
| mailing date of this communication.   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> | nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. le application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This act  | ion is non-final.  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa   | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.                               |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>   | is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s)  | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |
| 5)  Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |
| 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>   | is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |
| 7)  | is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |
|   | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on   | a) $\square$ accepted or b) $ ot \!$                       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o   | Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam  | iner.  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have  | ve been received.  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have  | ve been received in Application No   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | · <del></del>  |  |  |  |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |
| 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4  | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/893,757

Art Unit: 2854

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph [0007] line 1 the status of application "09/472,337" should be updated. The phrase on line 1 of paragraph [0047] is grammatically unclear. In paragraph [0052] line 1 "an" should be --a--. In paragraph [0053] line 2 "has" should be --have--. In claim 3 line 1 --a-- should be inserted before "second". Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "5", as addressed in paragraph [0049] line 2, is not shown in Figure 1. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10 - 13, 16 - 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Castelli et al. (US 5,700,343). See the entire document of Castelli et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

Application/Control Number: 09/893,757

Art Unit: 2854

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castelli et al. in view of Cushner et al. (US 5,798,019) and McConnell (US 5,301,610). Castelli et al. do not specifically teach forming the blanket continuously. Cushner et al. teach continuously applying a curable layer to a translating base sleeve. See column 2 line 48 - column 3 line 20 and Figures 1 and 4 of Cushner et al., for example. Cushner et al. do not teach a continuous base sleeve. McConnell teaches the desirability of continuously forming a base sleeve. See column 5 lines 4 - 17 of McConnell, for example. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device of Castelli et al. with the capability of continuously forming the base sleeve and blanket in view of Cushner et al. and McConnell so as to more quickly produce tubular printing blankets.

Claims 5 - 7, 14, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castelli et al. in view of Schisler et al. (US 4,767,793). Castelli et al. teach an infrared radiation curing compressible layer. Schisler et al. teach the conventionality of a UV radiation curing urethane compressible layer. See the Abstract, column 1 lines 40 - 61, column 6 lines 40 - 52, and column 9 lines 41 - 43 of Schisler et al., for example. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the blanket of Castelli et al. with a UV curing urethane layer in view of Schisler et al. so as to cure the compressible layer without exposing it to damaging high temperatures.

Art Unit: 2854

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See column 8 lines 16 - 39 of Hatch et al. ('386) and the paragraph bridging columns 6 and 7 of Gaworowski et al. ('487).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Funk at telephone number (703) 308-0982. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday - Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Hirshfeld, can be reached at (703) 305-6619.

The fax number for *official* papers is (703) 308-7722, 7724. The fax number for those wishing an auto-reply verifying receipt of *official* papers is (703) 872-9318 or for After-Final actions is (703) 872-9319. Upon consulting with the examiner *unofficial* papers only may be faxed directly to the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0956.

Stephen Funk July 11, 2002

STEPHEN R. FUNK
PRIMARY FXAMINER